$(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.83(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=6.9,3.85,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.42(\mathrm{q}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=6.4,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.3$, $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.5,1.95 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 2.12,2.03$, 2.0 , and $1.98\left(4-\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 1.71-1.5(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.16(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 133.6,129.8,98.8,81.2,79.4,77.7,77.6,77.5,73.6,62.3,40.2,40.0$, 34.3, 34.1, 27.7, 25.4, 20.9, 18.8, 16.2, 14.9.

Periodate-Permanganate Oxidation of 2. The oxidation stock solution used was freshly prepared by dissolving 448 mg of potassium periodate and 8 mg of potassium permanganate in 100 mL of distilled water with slight warming. A mixture of 30 mg of $\mathbf{2}, 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ of tert-butyl alcohol, and 50 mL of the oxidation stock solution was brought to pH 8.6 by addition of potassium carbonate and then stirred on a magnetic stirrer for 20 h . The mixture was acidified with $10 \%$ sulfuric acid and treated with sodium metabisulfite to convert all the periodate, iodate, and iodine into iodide. The solution was made alkaline with $5 \%$ potassium hydroxide, the butanol was distilled off, and the remaining solution was again acidified to pH 3 and continuously extracted overnight with ether. The ether extract was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and then evaporated to dryness to give 16 mg of a mixture of acids. The mixture of acids was converted to the potassium salts and refluxed with 30 mg of $p$-bromophenacyl bromide and 5 mg of 18 -crown- 6 in 8 mL of ace-
tonitrile for 1 h . The acetonitrile was distilled off, and the residue was purified by preparative layer chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ $95: 5$ ). Among several other degradation products, 5.7 mg of the bromophenacyl ester of the compound $\mathbf{3}$ derived from the tetrahydropyran moiety of aplasmomycin was isolated. Its structure follows from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and mass spectra. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) ~ \delta$ $7.74(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q})$, $4.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.84(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,18.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,18.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.25(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $0.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 191.3,175.6,170.0$, $133.0,132.2,129.9,129.1,81.9,72.9,65.6,38.6,36.7,36.5,30.0,26.5$, $21.9,18.9,17.2 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI}),(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 441.085,443.081$, calcd 441.091, 443.089.
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#### Abstract

The transannular cyclopropanation reactions of ketocarbenes, generated by [ $\left.\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2}$ catalysis, on the 2-substituted 3,4 -dihydropyran nucleus was explored. The scope and limitations of the cyclopropanation reaction were defined and where successful, and the reaction produced novel oxa-tricyclic ketones. In cases where the cyclopropanation failed, interesting and novel intramolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertions occurred, and these could be rationalized on the basis of chain length of the diazo ketone, and substitution on the dihydropyran ring. The oxa-tricyclic ketones were subjected to acidic media and the presence of the appropriate nucleophiles and reaction conditions led to cyclopropane ring fragmentation and the formation of new carbocycles. By using this methodology, a practical approach to 6-9-membered carbocycles is described, whereby the stereochemistry of the annular substituents is controlled.


Fused and bridged polycyclic systems containing a cyclopropane ring have been shown to be useful intermediates in organic synthesis. ${ }^{1}$ The torsional strain in the 3 -membered ring imparts a high degree of reactivity, which can lead to the fragmentation of the cyclopropane. This process is hopefully a regio- and stereochemically controlled one.
One such example makes use of the homoconjugate addition of a nucleophile to a cyclopropane activated by electron-withdrawing groups (EWG). There are two important considerations associated with this approach: (a) for ring cleavage with carbon nucleophiles, the cyclopropane usually must be doubly activated by two electron-withdrawing substituents (i.e., two carbonyl functions), otherwise homoconjugate addition does not occur, ${ }^{2,3}$ and (b) there may or may not be regiochemical discrimination in the opening of the cyclopropyl ring (Scheme I). ${ }^{4}$

[^0]Scheme I. Homoconjugate Addition on Activated Cyclopropanes


This paper describes the synthesis of oxa-tricyclic cyclopropyl ketones whereby both of these points are taken into consideration
(1) (a) Dave, V.; Warnhoff, E. W. Organic Reactions; John Wiley \& Sons, 1nc.; New York, 1970; Vol. 18, Chapter 3, pp 217-401. (b) Burke, S. D.; Grieco, P. A. Organic Reactions; John Wiley \& Sons, Inc.; New York, 1979; Vol. 26, Chapter 2, pp 361-475 and references therein.
(2) (a) Trost, B. M.; Taber, D. F.; Alper. J. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 17, 3857. (b) House, H. O.; Weeks, P. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 2778. (c) Corey, E. J.: Fuchs, P. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 4014. (d) Daviaud, G.; Miginiac, Ph. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 13, 997.

Table I. Intramolecular Carbene Cyclopropanations and Insertions
(
${ }^{a}$ Only $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching frequencies for oxa-tricyclic ketones are shown. ${ }^{b}$ Overal yield from commercially available 3,4 -dihydro- 2 H -pyran-2-carboxylic acid, sodium salt. ${ }^{c}$ Purified yield from flash chromatography. ${ }^{d}$ Crude yield estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.
in the opening of the 3 -membered ring by using an acid-catalyzed ring opening of the cyclopropane. ${ }^{5}$

## Results and Discussion

We recently described the intramolecular cyclopropanation of an enol ether mediated by a $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2}$ catalyzed decomposition of an $\alpha$-diazo ketone (entry 1, Table I). ${ }^{6,7}$ The resultant product, a highly strained oxa-tricyclic ketone 2, proved to be especially reactive in acidic media, as characterized by the solvolytic addition of nucleophiles to produce oxa-bicyclic ketones.

The high yields and specificity of this reaction prompted us to examine diazo ketones of different chain lengths in an effort to discover the limiting features and synthetic scope of the cyclopropanation reaction. In addition it was felt that the possibility of synthesizing carbocyclic rings ( 6 -membered) existed by effecting a fragmentation of the labile cyclopropane bond.

The preparation of the $\alpha$-diazo ketones was a straightforward matter. In the case of the one-carbon homologue of 1 the

[^1]Scheme II. Preparation of Diazo Ketones


${ }^{a} \mathrm{AgOBz}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH} / \mathrm{MeOH} .{ }^{c}(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$, cat. DMF $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} .{ }^{d} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{e} \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et} / \mathrm{PhMe}$,
 $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{3} \mathrm{RhCl}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{SC},{ }^{8}$ DIBAL-H$/ \mathrm{PhMe},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Arndt-Eistert reaction ${ }^{8}$ in MeOH was employed to produce the chain-extended methyl ester which was saponified and converted to the diazo ketone 3 with standard conditions. The two-carbon homologue of $\mathbf{1}$ was prepared by starting with the dihydropyran carboxaldehyde $4 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me})$. A Wittig reaction with (carboethoxymethylene)triphenylphosphorane provided the $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated esters in excellent yield. The double bond was reduced by either of two methods: (1) "CuH", giving quantitative yields," or (2) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{SiH} /\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{3} \mathrm{RhCl}$, affording lower yields but more easily amenable to large scale. ${ }^{10}$ The esters $5 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ were then routinely converted to their corresponding diazo ketones $\mathbf{6 a / b}$.

The three-carbon homologue of $\mathbf{1}$ once again made use of the Arndt-Eistert one-carbon extension from diazo ketone $\mathbf{6 b}$ and eventually producing diazo ketone 7. Finally, synthesis of the 4 -carbon homologues of 1 involved a second Wittig reaction on the aldehydes obtained by DIBAL reduction of esters $5 \mathbf{5} / \mathbf{b}$, followed by reduction of the double bond $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{SiH} /\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{3} \mathrm{RhCl}\right)$ to give esters $8 \mathbf{a} / \mathbf{b}$. Conversion to diazo ketones $9 \mathbf{a} / \mathbf{b}$ proceeded uneventfully (Scheme II).

## Cyclization Experiments

The use of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2}$ as an effective and mild catalyst to generate carbenoid species has been well documented. ${ }^{11}$

Typically the reactions are run at room temperature in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ employing 1-2 wt \% of catalyst, followed by slow addition of the substrate. Progress could be conveniently monitored by TLC, and the cessation of nitrogen evolution signaled the completion of the reaction. The results of the cyclization experiments are summarized in Table I. In entries 1, 2, 4, and 5, oxa-tricyclic ketones were produced in good yield and no other products were observed. However, due to their chemical instabilities, attempts to purify either by distillation or column chromatography resulted in substantial loss in yield due to decomposition.
In most cases the crude tricyclic product was found to be practically pure by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis and was simply carried on to the next stage. It is interesting to note that the carbonyl stretching frequencies in the IR spectra are somewhat indicative of the relative stabilities of the tricyclic ketones. For instance the

[^2]Scheme III. Mechanism of Ring Fragmentation in Acidic Media

$\pi$ orbital of ketone $\mathbf{2}$ has the poorest overlap with the cyclopropane and $\mathbf{2}$ is the most stable to hydrolysis on silica gel. Ketones $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ are the least stable to silica, and the ketone $\pi$ orbitals substantially overlap with the cyclopropane as seen by the lower energy stretching frequencies, which are similar to those of enones. This is supported by examination of molecular models. The mechanism for hydrolysis is addressed subsequently.

Entry 3 exhibits an interesting $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion reaction in preference to cyclopropanation. The intermediacy of a sixmembered ring ylide presents itself as a possibility, although a conventional $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion mechanism might also be operative. Recently, both Pirrung and Johnson independently described similar ylides. ${ }^{12,13}$ Attempts to purify the spirocyclic compound 11 through a silica gel column led to the formation of the aldehyde cyclopentenone 12 , via a $\beta$-elimination process.

In entry 4 the diazo ketone $\mathbf{6 b}$ bears an angular methyl group and still has the possibility of forming an oxygen ylide; however, the insertion reaction $\alpha$ to oxygen cannot occur, and cyclopropanation proceeds smoothly.

Entries 6 and 7 demonstrate the limiting case for the cyclopropanation reaction. The carbon chains are too long and the carbene exhibits a kinetic preference for insertion into an unactivated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond to form 5 -membered rings ( $1: 1$ diastereomeric mixture). The assignment of structures $\mathbf{1 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ (Table I) was made largely by analysis of the mass spectral fragmentation patterns and by the carbonyl stretching frequency in the infrared spectra. The kinetic preference for the formation of a 5 -membered ring in favor of the 6 -membered ring has been well documented. ${ }^{14}$ It is interesting that the diazo ketone 7 , also capable of forming a cyclopentanone, reacts only to form the cyclopropane. The reactivity difference is that of the electron-rich enol ether vs. the hindered unactivated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond ( $\alpha$ to a quarternary center). This result is mechanistically interesting in that the potential intermediate ylide proposed for the case of entry 2 , has no bearing on the outcome of these reactions.

## Ring Fragmentation

As already mentioned the strained cyclopropane compounds are reactive to acidic conditions and nucleophiles can add in homoconjugate fashion. In acidic media, the intermediacy of an enol oxonium ion is postulated (Scheme III), which upon addition of a nucleophile (i.e., solvolysis) produces a bicyclic ketone.

Further ring cleavage of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond would give rise to a ring-expanded carbocyclic ketone bearing two remote annular functions having a syn relationship. Depending on the lengths of the initial diazo ketone chain, various medium-sized rings could be formed. To this purpose, a number of reaction sequences were explored.

Solvolysis of tricyclic ketone $2\left(\mathrm{TsOH} / \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ afforded, in quantitative yield, the 6 -membered-ring $\alpha$-hydroxy ketone 18 , and the intermediate hemiacetal 17 was not observed. Alternatively, under the same conditions the compounds 10 and 13 afforded exclusively the hemiacetals 19 and 20 respectively. The seven- and eight-membered carbocycles were not observed.

The stable hemiacetals 19 and 20 were oxidized (PCC, NaOAc ) to give the corresponding lactones 21 and 22 in good yield, which are viewed as functional precursors to the 7 - and 8 -membered-ring cyclic ketones. Hydrolysis of the tricyclic ketone 14 initially formed the keto-lactol (not observed) and equilibrated to a new

[^3]Scheme IV. Hydrolysis of Oxa-Tricyclic Ketones


Scheme V. X-ray Crystal Structure Analysis of Acid 25

lactol-aldehyde 24 which may be regarded as a 9 -membered-ring precursor (after saponification). The aldehyde 18 is initially diastereomerically pure but epimerizes during chromatography on silica gel. ${ }^{15}$
However, when the aldehyde $\mathbf{2 4}$ was left standing in air in a $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ solution, it slowly oxidized to the corresponding carboxylic acid $\mathbf{2 5}$, which crystallized. ${ }^{15}$ The stereochemistry of the carboxyl group was confirmed by X-ray crystallography (Scheme V).

Another synthetic sequence involved treating the tricyclic ketone 13 with excess ethanedithiol in the presence of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to obtain the 7 -membered-ring bis(dithioketal) 26. Differention of the two carbonyls was possible with a 2 -step sequence: (1) ethanedithiol ( 1.0 equiv) cat. $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}$, (2) $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. In this

[^4]Scheme VI. Carbonyl Differentiation and Ring Expansion

manner only the aldehyde function is masked. In the case of ketone 14 the same reaction is achieved in one pot beginning with cat. $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4} / \mathrm{THF} /-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then ethanedithiol followed by heating to reflux to produce the 8 -membered ring ketone 28 a which is in equilibrium with the corresponding hemiacetal 28b.

The synthesis of medium-sized rings poses a challenge to the organic chemist. In addition, the control of stereochemical elements in larger rings requires a much more sophisticated understanding of the conformation options. The sequences described for the syntheses of 6-9-membered rings make use of conformationally restricted oxa-tricyclic systems, which when unravelled produce functionality remotely placed on the newly formed carbocycle with a predictable relative stereochemical outcome.

The oxygen functionality on the ring-expanded carbocycles may be differentiated either by manipulation of their oxidation states or by selective protection during ring fragmentation.

The examples described are the simplest test cases. We are currently exploring more complex dihydropyrans (glycals) with various functionality adorning the original heterocycle. Particular attention is being paid relevant functionality toward the synthesis of sesquiterpenoids containing 6,7 fused rings and 4,9 fused rings examplified by natural products widdrol and isocaryophyllene, respectively.

widdrol

isocaryophyllene

## Experimental Section

Preparation of Diazo Ketone 3. (i) Methyl 3.4-Dihydro-2H-pyranyl-2-acetate. A solution of diazo ketone $1(20 \mathrm{~g}, 133 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and to this solution was added portionwise ( 1 mL ) with a dropping funnel a solution of silver benzoate ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in triethylamine ( 45 mL ). Evolution of nitrogen was observed as the mixture was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to initiate the reaction. The mixture was kept at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1-\mathrm{mL}$ portions were added until no further evolution of nitrogen was noticed. The reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum, and the methyl ester was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel, eluting with $10 \%$ EtOAc/hexane to yield the title product ( $16.5 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ). The methyl ester could also be purified by distillation: bp $79^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg})$. IR $1740,1650,1240,1200,1065 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(90 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.5-2.2(4 \mathrm{H}$, complex m$), 2.6(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.7(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.1-4.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.6-4.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.35(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).
(ii) Diazo Ketone 3. To a solution of methyl ester ( $970 \mathrm{mg}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(6.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness under vacuum and coevaporated with MeOH $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) until a white solid was obtained. The solid was dried under
high vacuum at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h to afford the sodium salt.
A suspension of sodium salt in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and DMF ( 1 drop) was added. To this mixture was added oxalyl chloride ( $0.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at this temperature for 30 min .

The resulting solution was transferred to an addition funnel and slowly added, at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to a solution of 0.5 M diazomethane in ether ( 60 mL ). The temperature was raised to room temperature over 2 h and the reaction mixture concentrated to about 20 mL . The salts were removed by filtration on Celite, and the diazo ketone was flash chromatographed on silica gel, eluting with $10 \%$ EtOAc/hexane to yield the diazo ketone 3 ( $560 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ): IR $2100,1640,1370,1060 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 90 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 1.5-2.2 ( 4 H , complex m$), 2.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.1-4.4$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.6-4.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{b})), 6.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
Oxa-Tricyclic Ketone 10. Rhodium(II) acetate dimer ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08$ mmol, $2 \%$ by weight) was suspended in freshly distilled $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 400 mL ). To this suspension, under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature, was added slowly (using a dropping funnel or a syringe drive (rate $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{h}$ ) a solution of diazo ketone $3(1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 10.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. One hour after complete addition, the reaction mixture was quenched with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and the organic layer separated, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. A few drops of triethylamine were added to the filtrate and evaporated to dryness to afford the crude tricyclic ketone ( 1.37 g , crude $98 \%$ ) as an orange oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed purity up to $95 \%$. The oil could be flash chromatographed on silica gel ( 50 g ), rapidly eluting with $40 \%$ EtOAc/hexane (containing $2 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford oxa-tricyclic ketone $\mathbf{1 0}$ as a colorless oil ( $890 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ): IR 1690, 1235, 1220, 1035 , $995,850,780 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.7-0.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 1.5-1.65 ( 4 H, complex m), $1.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ $=16,6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.65-3.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$; MS exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$ 139.076, found 139.076 .
Preparation of Ethyl 3-(3,4-Dihydro-2H-pyran-2-yl) propanoate (5a). (i) Ethyl (trans and cis)-3-(3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)acrylate. To a solution of 3,4 -dihydro- 2 H -pyran-2-carboxaldehyde ( 4 a ) ( $11.2 \mathrm{~g}, 100$ mmol ) in toluene ( 100 mL ) was added (carboethoxymethylene)triphenylphosphorane ( $34.8 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was sitrred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness under vacuum and the residue was flash chromatographed on silica gel, eluting with $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ /hexane to afford pure trans olefin $(12.8 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%)$ and cis-olefin ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \%$ ) as oils. Trans olefin: IR 1720, 1650, 1305, 1240, $1060 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.3(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.65-1.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.95-2.15(3 \mathrm{H}$, complex m$), 4.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.48-4.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.7-4.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.4$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=16,3 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Cis olefin: IR 1720. $1650,1420,1190,1055 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{i} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.3(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.7-1.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.9-2.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) .2 .1-2.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. $4.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.7-4.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.82$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.3-6.4 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ).
(ii) Ester 5a. To a well-stirred suspension of $99.9 \%$ copper(I) bromide ( $14.4 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 150 mL ) kept at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added dropwise a solution of $70 \%$ Vitride in toluene ( $22.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. tert-Butyl alcohol ( 17 mL ) was added, followed by a slow addition of a solution of the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester ( $1.82 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 40 mL ). The mixture was stirred at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 4 mL ) The mixture was poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ( 500 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to dryness to give a liquid that was "bulb-tobulb" distilled ( $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg})$ ) to afford the ester $5 \mathrm{a}(1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ : IR $1740,1650,1240,1180,1070 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.25(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.5-1.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.8-1.95(3 \mathrm{H}$, complex m), 1.95-2.15 ( 2 H , complex m), 1.35-1.55 ( 2 H , complex m), 3.75-3.9 (1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.62-4.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ 6 Hz ).

Diazo ketone 6a: prepared the same as $\mathbf{3}$ from $\mathbf{5 a}$ in $\mathbf{6 1 \%}$ yield; IR $2100,1650,1375,1065 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.5-1.7$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.8-2.2(5 \mathrm{H}$, complex m$), 2.5(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{b})), 4.72-4.85(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), 4.65-4.72 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) $5.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{b})), 6.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

Spirocyclic ketone 11: prepared the same as 10 from 6a to afford crude spirocyclic ketone 11 in $95 \%$ yield as an oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 1.05-1.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.3-1.85(3$ H, complex m), 1.85-2.0 ( 2 H , complex m), 2.25-2.4 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 4.45-4.5 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{b}) . J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
Preparation of Ethyl 5-(3,4-Dihydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-2-pentanoate (8a). (i) Ethyl trans-5-(3,4-Dihydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-2-pentenoate. To a solution of ester $5 \mathrm{a}(3.03 \mathrm{~g}, 16.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 20 mL ), cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added 1.5 M DIBAL-H in toluene ( $12 \mathrm{~mL}, 18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 2 h , quenched with 1 N HCl , and extracted with toluene. The organic layers were dried and evaporated to dryness to afford the corresponding aldehyde

The crude aldehyde was dissolved in toluene ( 50 mL ) and (carboethoxymethylene)triphenylphosphorane ( $6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 17.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Then the reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness under vacuum and flash chromatographed on silica gel, eluting with $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane to afford the trans olefin as the major compound ( $2.08 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ): IR $1720,1650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Trans olefin: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl})_{3}\right) \delta 1.3(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.55-1.9(6 \mathrm{H}$, complex m), 1.95-2.10 ( 2 H , complex m), 2.25-2.4 ( 2 H , complex m), $3.72-3.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.65-4.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.85$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=16,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{b}), J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.95-7.05(1 \mathrm{H}$, m).
(ii) Ester 8a. A mixture of triethylsilane ( $2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 11.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min in the presence of Wilkinson's catalyst $\left(\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{3} \mathrm{RhCl}, 35 \mathrm{mg}\right)$. The residue was flash chromatographed on flash silica gel, eluting with $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane to afford the ester $8 \mathrm{a}(1.85 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%)$ : IR 1740,1650 , 1235, 1180, $1070 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.25(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J$ $=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.4-1.9(8 \mathrm{H}$, complex m$), 1.95-2.1(2 \mathrm{H}$, complex m), $2.3(2$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.7-3.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.65(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dt}, J=6,3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=6,3 \mathrm{~Hz})$

Diazo ketone 9a: prepared the same as $\mathbf{3}$ from $8 \mathbf{a}$ in $30 \%$ yield; IR $2100,1650,1370,1065 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.4-1.9$ ( 8 H , complex m), 1.9-2.1 ( 2 H , complex m), $2.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 3.7-3.8(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.6-4.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{b})), 6.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

3-(3,4-Dihydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)cyclohexanone (15): prepared the same as $\mathbf{1 0}$ from 9a but flash chromatographed on silica gel, eluting with $15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%, 1: 1$ mixture of diasteromers); IR 1740, $1160,1030 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.5-2.5$ ( 13 H , complex m), 3.75-3.95 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $4.6-4.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 219.3$ and 219.1, 143.6, 100.4, 73.6 and 73.4, 45.7 and $45.0,41.1$ and $41.2,38.5$ and $38.3,33.8$ and 33.7, 30.0 and 29.5 , 28.3, 19.7; MS exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right) 181.123$, found 181.123.

Preparation of Ethyl 3-(3,4-Dihydro-2-methyl-2H-pyran-2-yl)propanoate (5b): (i) Ethyl (trans and cis)-3-(3,4-Dihydro-2-methyl-2H-pyran-2-yl)acrylate: prepared the same as ethyl (trans and cis)-3-(3,4-dihydro- 2 H -pyran-2-yl) acrylate, from 3,4-dihydro-2-methyl- 2 H -pyran-2-carboxaldehyde ( $\mathbf{4 b}$ ) to afford trans olefin ( $15.1 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ ) and cis olefin ( $1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \%$ ) as oils. Trans olefin: IR $1740,1650,1305,1240,1070 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.3(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.7-1.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.85-2.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.65-4.7$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.82(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Cis olefin: IR $1740,1650,1420,1190,1055 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.3(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.7-1.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.85-2.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.65-4.71$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.4-6.5(1$ H, m).
(ii) Ester 5b: prepared the same as $\mathbf{5 a}$ from the corresponding $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated ester in $81 \%$ yield; IR 1740, $1650,1240,1180 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.2(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.25(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 1.6-1.85 (3 H, complex m), 1.95-2.1 (3 H, complex m), 2.4 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J$ $=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.6-4.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ 6 Hz ).

Diazo Ketone $6 \mathbf{b}$ : prepared in $75 \%$ yield the same as $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$; IR $2100,1650,1380,1065 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.2(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), 1.55-1.85 ( 3 H , complex m ), 1.9-2.1 ( 3 H , complex m), $2.45(2 \mathrm{H}$, t), $4.6-4.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{b})), 6.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

Oxa-tricyclic ketone 13: prepared the same as 10 from $\mathbf{6 b}$ to afford crude tricyclic in $95 \%$ yield ( $95 \%$ pure by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) as an oil; IR 1665 , 1350, 1240, 1210, 1090, $870 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $0.65-0.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.80-1.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.05-1.3(2 \mathrm{H}$, complex m), 1.5-1.7 ( 2 H , complex m ), $1.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.15$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=12,3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=12,3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $6 \mathrm{~Hz}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 205.1,73.3,56.6,42.0,35.9,33.1$, $32.5,29.3,15.8,13.9$; MS, exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$ 167.107, found 167.107.

Preparation of Diazo Ketone 7. (i) Methyl 4-(3,4-dihydro-2-methyl-2H-pyran-2-yl)butanoate: prepared the same as methyl 3,4-dihydro2 H -pyran-2-acetate from $\mathbf{6 b}$ in $89 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.4-1.75(6 \mathrm{H}$, complex m$), 1.9-2.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.30(2$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.7(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=6,3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.25(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dt}, J=6,2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).
(ii) Diazo ketone 7: prepared the same as 3 from the corresponding ester to afford diazo ketone in $40 \%$ yield as an oil; IR 2100, 1650, 1375 , $1065 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \dot{\mathrm{C} D C l}{ }_{3}\right) \delta 1.2(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.45-1.75(6$ H, complex m), 1.9-2.0 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $2.3(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 4.55-4.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.25$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{b})), 6.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{b}), J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

Oxa-tricyclic ketone 14: prepared the same as 10 from 7 to afford crude 14 in $80 \%$ yield as an oil; IR $1675,1240,1175,1165,1080 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 0.8-0.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.0-1.7(9 \mathrm{H}$, complex
$\mathrm{m}), 1.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.3-2.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=9,3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.4$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 210.3,71.8,51.5$, $43.0,40.1,32.7,30.2,29.9,21.0,15.1,13.2$; MS, exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right) 181.123$, found 181.123 .

Preparation of Ethyl 5-(3,4-Dihydro-2-methyl-2H-pyran-2-y1)pentanoate (8b). (i) Ethyl trans-5-(3,4-dihydro-2-methyl-2H-pyran-2-yl)-2pentenoate: prepared the same as ethyl trans-5-(3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-2-pentenoate from $\mathbf{5 b}$ to afford trans olefin (as major compound) in $70 \%$ yield as an oil. Trans olefin: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.2$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.5-1.9(4 \mathrm{H}$, complex m$), 1.95-2.1$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.25-2.4(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.6-4.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $5.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=16,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{b}), J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.9-6.95(1 \mathrm{H}$, m).
(ii) Ester 8b: prepared as 5 a from the corresponding $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester to afford $\mathbf{8 b}$ in $98 \%$ as an oil, IR $1740,1650,1240,1180,1070 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.2(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 1.35-1.5 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 1.5-1.75 ( 6 H , complex m), 1.95-2.05 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.32 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=6,3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=6,3 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

Diazo ketone 9b: prepared the same as $\mathbf{3}$ from $\mathbf{8 b}$ to afford $\mathbf{9 b}$ in $26 \%$ yield as an oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.2(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.3-1.5(2$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.5-1.75(6 \mathrm{H}$, complex m$), 1.9-2.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t})$, 4.6-4.7 (1 H, m), $5.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{b})), 6.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

3-(3,4-Dihydro-2-methyl-2H-pyran-2-yl) cyclohexanone (16): prepared the same as 10 from $9 b$ to afford 16 in $84 \%$ yield as an oil, as a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers; IR 1740, 1650; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 0.8-2.0(13 \mathrm{H}$, complex m$), 4.48-4,5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); MS $194\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 123,97,83$ (cyclopentanone ${ }^{+}$), 55, 41 .
syn-5-Formyl-2-hydroxycyclohexanone (18). A solution of oxa-tricyclic ketone 2 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmole}$ ) in THF ( 3 mL ) was treated with $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $91 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmole}$ ) for 30 min at room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched with $25 \%$ aqueous ammonium acetate, extracted with ether, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated to dryness to give product 18 ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.6-2.6(6 \mathrm{H}$, complex m$), 3.0(1 / 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=12$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.1-3.18(1 / 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12,3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.65(1 \mathrm{H}$, s). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 208.2,201.4,74.8,48.7,37.2,31.9$, 21.8; MS $142\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 124,100,99,84,83,82$.

Lactone 21. The hemiacetal 19 was prepared in the same manner as 18 from 10 in $100 \%$ yield and used as is.

To a solution of hemiacetal 19 ( $111 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (3 mL ) were added anhydrous sodium acetate ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridinium chlorochromate ( $305 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with ether, triturated ( $3 \times$ ), and filtered through a small plug of silica gel. The filtrate was evaporated and the obtained solid was recrystallized from ether to afford lactone $21(91 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%)$ : mp $112-112.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR 1750 , $1710,1350,1265,1145,1070,1020 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 1.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.15-2.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-2.9(3 \mathrm{H}$, complex $\mathrm{m}), 3.0-3.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.85-4.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; MS, exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1+\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) 172.097$, found 172.097 .

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, $62.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.54$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 62.52 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.61 .

Lactone 22: prepared the same as 21 from 13 to afford $\mathbf{2 2}$ in $85 \%$ yield, as a white solid: $\operatorname{mp} 74-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $1.5(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.70-2.2(6 \mathrm{H}$, complex m$), 2.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9,3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.55-2.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9,3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.0-3.1(1, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 211.4,175.0,82.1,49.4,41.4,37.1,36.0$, 32.1, 29.5, 22.8; MS, exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right) 183.102$, found 183.102 .

Lactol aldehyde 24: prepared the same as 18 from 14 to afford 24 in crude yield $80 \%$ (crude 24 could be flash chromatographed on silica gel, eluting with $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $)$; IR $1720,1080 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.1(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.3-1.45(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.65-1.75(2 \mathrm{H}$, complex m), 1.8-2.0 ( 4 H , complex m), $2.2(2 \mathrm{H}$, qd, $J=12,3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.65-2.75$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $205.6,96.6,73.8,46.2,35.1,34.5,34.2,31.5,29.8,18.3,18.2$; MS, exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ 188.123, found 181.123.

Lactol acid 25: mp $153-154^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.25$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 1.3-1.6 ( 4 H , complex m), 1.7-2.25 ( 7 H , complex m), 2.35 ( 1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12,3 \mathrm{hZ}), 2.85-3.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.2-7.1$ ( 2 H , broad singlet exchangeable).

Bis(thiolactol) 26. To a solution of oxa-tricyclic ketone $13(50 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.36 mmol ) and 1,2 -ethanedithiol ( $75 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 1 mL ) was added boron trifluoride etherate ( $2 \%$ equiv) at room temperature, the mixture was stirred for 10 min , and the reaction was quenched with $25 \%$ aqueous ammonium acetate. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried, and evaporated. The residue was flash chromatographed on silica gel, eluting with $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane to afford $26(59 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{b})$,
exchangeable), 1.8-2.1 ( 5 H , complex m ), 2.1-2.6 ( 4 H , complex m), $3.15-3.3(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.4(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 4.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); MS, exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{OS}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right) 309.048$, found 309.047,

Keto Thiolactol 27. To a solution of oxa-tricyclic ketone 13 ( 50 mg , 0.36 mmol ) and 1,2 -ethanedithiol ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 1 mL ) was added at $-78^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ in THF ( $2 \%$ equiv). The mixture was slowly warmed to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction was quenched with $25 \%$ aqueous ammonium acetate, and the solution was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried and evaporated. The residue was flash chromatographed on silica gel, eluting with $20 \%$ EtOAc/hexane to afford 27 ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ) as an oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.65-1.8(3 \mathrm{H}$, complex m), 2.1-2.25 (1 H, m), 2.3-2.42 (1 H, m), 2.6 (1 H, dd, $J=$ $14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.7-3.1(8 \mathrm{H}$, complex m$), 4.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{b})), 5.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{b}))$.

Ketone 28. To a solution of $27(23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, at room temperature, boron trifluoride etherate ( $2 \%$ equiv) and the solution was stirred for 10 min . The mixture was quenched with $25 \%$ aqueous ammonium acetate, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried, and evaporated to afford $28(20 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.6$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{b}$ ), exchangeable), 1.65-1.9 ( 4 H , complex m), 2.0-2.15 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12,9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12,3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.7-3.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.15(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{b})), 4.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 4.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4$ Hz ); MS, exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$ 233.067, found 233.067.

Ketone 29a. A solution of oxa-tricyclic ketone $\mathbf{1 4}$ ( $358 \mathrm{mg}, 2.18$ mmol ) and 1.2 -ethanedithiol ( $0.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 6 mL ) was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}(5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol})$ added. The mixture was warmed to room temperature for 30 min and finally heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with $25 \%$ aqueous ammonium acetate, extracted with ether, dried, and evaporated. The residue was flash chromatographed on silica gel, eluting with $40 \%$ EtOAc/hexane to
afford 29a ( $460 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ) (substantial amount of hemiacetal 29b): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.4-2.3(11 \mathrm{H}$, complex m), 3.0 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, exchangeable), $3.15-3.25(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz})$; MS, exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{OS}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 243.088$, found 243.088.

Registry No. 1, 103668-91-3; 2, 103668-90-2; 3, 109390-69-4; 4a, 100-73-2; 4b, 26334-42-9; 5a, 109390-83-2; 5b, 109390-98-9; 6a, 109390-85-4; 6b, 109390-99-0; 7, 109390-72-9; 8a, 109390-93-4; 8b, 109391-02-8; 9a, 109390-94-5; 9b, 109391-03-9; 10, 109390-74-1; 11, 109390-75-2; 12, 109390-76-3; 13, 109390-77-4, 14, 109390-78-5; ( $R^{*}, R^{*}$ )-15, 109390-79-6; ( $R^{*}, S^{*}$ )-15, 109390-95-6; ( $R^{*}, R^{*}$ )-16, 109390-80-9; ( $R^{*}, S^{*}$ )-16, 109391-04-0; 18, 109390-81-0; 19, $109390-$ 82-1; 21, 70260-40-1; 22, 109390-84-3; 24, 109390-86-5; 25, 109390-87-6; 26, 109390-88-7; 27, 109390-89-8; 28, 109390-90-1; 29a, 109391-05-1; 29b, 109391 -06-2; $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2}, 15956-28-2 ; \mathrm{EtOC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, 1099-45-2; HS $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SH}, 540-63-6$; methyl 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran-2acetate, 109390-70-7; sodium 3,4-dihydro-2 H -pyran-2-acetate, 109390 -71-8; ethyl trans-3-(3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)acrylate, 76919-60-3; ethyl cis-3-(3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)acrylate, 109390-73-0; 3-(3,4-dihydro- 2 H -pyran-2-yl)propanal, $109390-91-2$; ethyl trans-5-(3,4-di-hydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-2-pentenoate, 109390-92-3; ethyl trans-5-(3,4-dihydro-2-methyl-2H-pyran-2-yl)-2-pentenoate, 109391-01-7; ethyl trans-3-(3,4-dihydro-2-methyl-2H-pyran-2-yl) acrylate, 109390-96-7; ethyl cis-3-(3,4-dihydro-2-methyl-2 H -pyran-2-yl) acrylate, 109390-97-8; methyl 4-(3,4-dihydro-2-methyl-2 H -pyran-2-yl)butanoate, 109391-00-6.

Supplementary Material Available: X-ray crystal structure analysis of $\mathbf{2 5}$ and tables of functional coordinates and temperature factors, bond distances, and bond angles for 25 ( 4 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.
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#### Abstract

Allylic unsaturated lactones $\mathbf{5 E}$ and $\mathbf{5 Z}$ can be epoxidized and osmylated with useful stereocontrol. The epoxidations follow the pattern predicted from evaluation of local conformer effects, and epoxides 8 and 14 are favored. These products correspond to peripheral attack on the exposed olefin face of conformers similar to $\mathbf{1}$ ( $Z$-alkene) or $\mathbf{2}$ ( $E$-alkene). As in the case of simple carbocyclic alkenes, osmylation of the $Z$-isomer ( $\mathbf{5 Z}$ ) follows the same selectivity pattern as the epoxidation, and 16 is the major diol. However, the isomeric 5E is osmylated from the opposite olefin face compared to the epoxidation and gives 13 as the major diol. The analysis of epoxidations by the local conformer approach is compared with the results of molecular mechanics (MACROMODEL) evaluations of the favored conformers of $\mathbf{5 E}$ and $\mathbf{5 Z}$ and of the derived epoxides.


In a previous report from this laboratory, the selective epoxidation of 3 -methylcycloalkenes in $8-15$-membered rings was described. ${ }^{1}$ Olefin face preferences were interpreted on the basis of local conformer effects due to the inherent geometric requirements of the ring segment $-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-$ and its neighboring substituents. Transition-state geometry for the highly selective electrophilic additions to $Z$-alkenes was approximated by the tub-like local conformer $\mathbf{1}$ having pseudoequatorial methyl. The less selective reactions of disubstituted $E$-alkenes were attributed to transition states resembling the crownlike local geometry $\mathbf{2}$ with a pseudoequatorial methyl group. The extrapolation from local olefin geometry to transition-state geometry is intuitively simple for cis addition reactions because the bicyclic transition states differ from olefin conformers $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ by relatively small changes in bond angles and hybridization. As long as the electrophile is compact and does not introduce major new steric interactions, the same factors which favor $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 (minimized transannular, gauche, and eclipsed interactions) should favor similar transition-state geometries. In the case of MCPBA ep-

[^5]oxidations, these conditions are satisfied and even the final epoxide can be expected to prefer a similar local geometry as in $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2}$.

Osmylations are significantly less selective then are epoxidations in the medium ring alkenes. ${ }^{1}$ Useful selectivity is observed with the $Z$-alkenes, but not in the case of the disubstituted $E$-isomers. This trend was attributed to the steric bulk of the reactive electrophile ( $\mathrm{OsO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{~L}$ ) which might be tolerated better in transitionstate geometries with pseudoaxial methyl, derived from olefin local conformer 3, or in non-crownlike local geometries such as 4 .
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This paper is dedicated to Professor Gilbert Stork on the occasion of his 65th birthday.
    ${ }^{\ddagger}$ Merck Frosst Canada Inc.
    ${ }^{8}$ Merck Sharp \& Dohme Research Laboratories.

[^1]:    (3) Special cases of nucleophilic opening of cyclopropanes with non-carbon nucleophiles have been reported, see: (a) Fleming, A.; Sinai-Zingde, G.; Natchus, M.; Hudlicky, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 167. (b) Miller, R. D.: McKean, D. R. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2412. (c) Truce, W. E.; Lindy, L. B. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 1463. (d) Smith, A. B.: Scarborough, R. B., Jr. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 17, 1649. (e) Schweizer, E. D.; Berninger, C. J.; Thompson, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 336.
    (4) An excellent review of electrophilic cyclopropane ring cleavage appears by Danishefsky, S.; Acc. Chem. Res. 1979, 12, 66.
    (5) Acid-catalyzed ring opening of oxacyclopropane carbonyl compounds has been documented, see: Doyle, M. P.; Van Leusen, D. J. Org. Chem. 1982. 47, 5326.
    (6) Adams, J.; Belley, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 2075.
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[^4]:    (15) Both the ease of epimerization and oxidation of the aldehyde moiety appear to be activated substantially by the proximity of the angular hydroxyl group, which is within hydrogen bonding distance of the carbonyl oxygen (cf. X-ray structure Scheme V).
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